Individual Report for John Girard (ITEC5110-01)

Fall 2017- MGA Student Course Evaluations

Project Audience 23
Responses Received 12
Response Ratio 52.17%

| Subject Details	
Section	01
Department	IT
School	GS

Report Comments
Individual reports for faculty -Fall 2017

Creation Date   Wed, Dec 20, 2017

Questions about Instructor

### 1. The instructor maintained an appropriate pace through the material.

Strongly Disagree (0.00%)
Disagree (0.00%)
Neutral (0.00%)
Agree (41.67%)
Strongly Agree (58.33%)
[Total (12)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.58
Standard Deviation	0.51

2. The instructor was responsive to my communications in a timely manner.

Strongly Disagree (0.00%)
Disagree (0.00%)
Neutral (0.00%)
Agree (33.33%)
Strongly Agree (66.67%)
[Total (12)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.67
Standard Deviation	0.49

3. The online materials and communication clearly presented course content.

Strongly Disagree (0.00%)
Disagree (0.00%)
Neutral (8.33%)
Agree (41.67%)
Strongly Agree (50.00%)
[Total (12)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.42
Standard Deviation	0.67

4. The instructor evaluates in a fair manner.

Strongly Disagree (0.00%)
Disagree (0.00%)
Neutral (0.00%)
Agree (33.33%)
Strongly Agree (66.67%)
[Total (12)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.67
Standard Deviation	0.49

5. The instructor is willing to give individual assistance.

Strongly Disagree (0.00%)
Disagree (0.00%)
Neutral (0.00%)
Agree (33.33%)
Strongly Agree (66.67%)
[Total (12)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.67
Standard Deviation	0.49

6. The instructor treats students with respect.

Strongly Disagree (0.00%)
Disagree (0.00%)
Neutral (0.00%)
Agree (41.67%)
Strongly Agree (58.33%)
[Total (12)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.58
Standard Deviation	0.51

Questions about Instructor (continued)

7. The instructor is adequately meeting the goals/objectives of this course.

Strongly Disagree (0.00%)
Disagree (0.00%)
Neutral (0.00%)
Agree (41.67%)
Strongly Agree (58.33%)
[Total (12)]

0   50%   100%

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.58
Standard Deviation	0.51

8. The instructor teaches well in an online setting.

Strongly Disagree (0.00%)
Disagree (0.00%)
Neutral (8.33%)
Agree (25.00%)
Strongly Agree (66.67%)
[Total (12)]

0   50%   100%

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.58
Standard Deviation	0.67

Instructor Questions: Comparative Scores

Overall

	Score
Course-Section (ITEC5110-01)	4.59
Course (ITEC5110)	4.59
Department (IT)	4.48
College	4.42

1. The instructor maintained an appropriate pace through the material.

	Score
Course-Section (ITEC5110-01)	4.58
Course (ITEC5110)	4.58
Department (IT)	4.50
College	4.44

2. The instructor was responsive to my communications in a timely manner.

	Score
Course-Section (ITEC5110-01)	4.67
Course (ITEC5110)	4.67
Department (IT)	4.47
College	4.41

3. The online materials and communication clearly presented course content.

	Score
Course-Section (ITEC5110-01)	4.42
Course (ITEC5110)	4.42
Department (IT)	4.40
College	4.38

4. The instructor evaluates in a fair manner.

|	Score
Course-Section (ITEC5110-01)	4.67
Course (ITEC5110)	4.67
Department (IT)	4.53
College	4.44

Instructor Questions: Comparative Scores (continued)

5. The instructor is willing to give individual assistance.

	Score
Course-Section (ITEC5110-01)	4.67
Course (ITEC5110)	4.67
Department (IT)	4.43
College	4.41

(Scale: 0.00 – 5.00)

6. The instructor treats students with respect.

	Score
Course-Section (ITEC5110-01)	4.58
Course (ITEC5110)	4.58
Department (IT)	4.61
College	4.53

(Scale: 0.00 – 5.00)

7. The instructor is adequately meeting the goals/objectives of this course.

	Score
Course-Section (ITEC5110-01)	4.58
Course (ITEC5110)	4.58
Department (IT)	4.51
College	4.47

(Scale: 0.00 – 5.00)

8. The instructor teaches well in an online setting.

	Score
Course-Section (ITEC5110-01)	4.58
Course (ITEC5110)	4.58
Department (IT)	4.36
College	4.32

(Scale: 0.00 – 5.00)

Comments:

Comments
I did not like the simulation with the class. It was very difficult for me to understand. I would have rather you have taught it to us with maybe lectures and power points explaining the process. It was just very confusing to me. Also, it being a team project, the team swayed me into believing they knew what was going on. However, we failed the group project. This is my second time taking this course and the simulation is still very difficult for me. I did very well in the practice round and unsure why I failed the final round. I also would like to have our individual papers maybe due at the beginning of the semester so that I would know my grades going into the simulation grade. I enjoyed the teachers responses by video. That was very helpful.
Excellent instructor that I would recommend to anyone without reservation. Exudes professionalism and sets a fabulous example for students. Provides worthwhile guidance and advice regarding what students can expect in the real–world that, based on my own experience, is right on target. His assignments prompt students to think before responding, and allows them enough latitude to explore the subject matter thoroughly while still leveraging their own unique personal creativity. I always come away from his assignments learning so much more than I knew going in. Especially effective is his commitment to communicate with students on a weekly basis using video, which I find really adds a personal touch and helps bridge the chasm between distance learners and faculty. In my opinion, Dr. Girard's instructional techniques set the bar for how effective online graduate courses should be conducted. MGSU graduate students are truly fortunate to have an instructor of his caliber as a member of the faculty.
Dr. Girard is an excellent instructor. He keeps the class involved in the subject and his instructions as well as the class layout are well organized and easy to understand.
Girard has been one of my favorite professors throughout my journey of getting my masters. My undergrad is in a business area so I did not have a hard time with the simulation and ended up leading my team because of that but I feel some of the guys struggled at it because they didn't have a business background. The videos are good and I enjoyed the heck out of the simulation. I did feel like it was a few weeks too long because the last few weeks I started getting tired of it. Overall, it was a great class that I will remember.
Video tutorial of the simulation was helpful.
Dr. Girard captured weekly videos that included demonstrations and helpful tips throughout the course. These were a nice touch as the online cadence can get a bit drab at times. He made the course fun and interesting and provided feedback in a timely fashion. He is responsive to emails and seems to enjoy teaching. I enjoyed being in his class this semester.
Dr. Girarad is great at responding to emails and updating the class on any changes.