

Individual Report for John Girard (ITEC3155-01)

Fall 2018 - MGA Student Course Evaluations

Project Audience 17

Responses Received 8

Response Ratio 47.06%

Subject Details

Section	01
Department	IT
School	IT


Report Comments

Individual reports for faculty -Fall 2018

Creation Date Fri, Dec 14, 2018


Questions about Instructor

1. The instructor expresses clear expectations for student performance in this course.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.88
Standard Deviation	0.35

2. The instructor is organized and prepared.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.88
Standard Deviation	0.35

3. The instructor encourages me to think critically.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.75
Standard Deviation	0.46

4. The instructor fosters active class participation.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.63
Standard Deviation	0.74

5. The instructor is willing to give individual assistance outside of class (via office phone, MGA email, office hours, and so forth).



Statistics	Value
Mean	4.63
Standard Deviation	0.74


6. The instructor motivates me to learn.



Statistics	Value
Mean	4.50
Standard Deviation	0.76


Questions about Instructor (continued)

7. The instructor is enthusiastic about the subject matter.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.88
Standard Deviation	0.35

8. The instructor shows respect and concern for students.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.88
Standard Deviation	0.35

9. The instructor evaluates student performance in a fair manner.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.00

10. The instructor returns graded student work in a timely manner.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.00

11. The instructor provides useful feedback on student work.



Statistics	Value
Mean	4.75
Standard Deviation	0.71


12. The instructor is an effective teacher.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.88
Standard Deviation	0.35

Instructor Questions: Comparative Scores


Overall




1. The instructor expresses clear expectations for student performance in this course.




2. The instructor is organized and prepared.



3. The instructor encourages me to think critically.




4. The instructor fosters active class participation.




Instructor Questions: Comparative Scores (continued)


5. The instructor is willing to give individual assistance outside of class (via office phone, MGA email, office hours, and so forth).




6. The instructor motivates me to learn.




7. The instructor is enthusiastic about the subject matter.



8. The instructor shows respect and concern for students.




9. The instructor evaluates student performance in a fair manner.




Instructor Questions: Comparative Scores (continued)


10. The instructor returns graded student work in a timely manner.



11. The instructor provides useful feedback on student work.



12. The instructor is an effective teacher.



What did your instructor do well in this course?

Comments

Taught us very well on this course

explain the content very clearly and good at answering questions

Dr. Girard was able to keep me involved mentally in the class lectures and side-bar discussions relating to Systems Analysis and Design. He used tools such as Lucidcharts online to give us an interactive view of processes and flow.

teach

Good in-class lectures and clear expectation for assignments.

Everything.

He made the class think critically

He was very informative with all the subjects we covered. He was always available and willing to help when we had questions.

What changes would you suggest to make your instructor's teaching more effective?

Comments
Make this class more interesting
none
Dr. Girard had a suggestion to move into a classroom with groups of computers instead of long row style classrooms that way groups can effectively interact during class time and I completely agree. Rows make it hard to really work together and bounce ideas
nothing
More detailed instruction for some sections, such as section 5,6,7, of the final paper would be great. My team members wasn't sure what they were doing. More team members in each team can be helpful to decrease work burden. If instructor can provide useful resources as references for the paper, it would be more effective.
None.
Add a week to make up missed assignments. He gets through the material in a timely manner.
Group projects are sometimes difficult when some members do not contribute.

If the instructor makes use of technology in the classroom, is that usage effective?

Comments
Yes
yes
SUPER effective; we were able to accomplish much more with technology usage in the classroom.
yes
Yes.
yes.
The smart board he likes using that when teaching the class.
yes.