

Individual Report for John Girard (ITEC6900-02)

Fall 2019 - MGA Student Course Evaluations

Project Audience 16

Responses Received 10

Response Ratio 62.50%

Subject Details

Section	02
Department	IT
School	GS


Report Comments

Individual reports for faculty -Fall 2019

Creation Date Wed, Dec 18, 2019


Questions about Instructor

1. The instructor maintained an appropriate pace through the material.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.40
Standard Deviation	0.70

2. The instructor was responsive to my communications in a timely manner.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.70
Standard Deviation	0.48

3. The online materials and communication clearly presented course content.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.70
Standard Deviation	0.48

4. The instructor evaluates in a fair manner.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.50
Standard Deviation	0.53

5. The instructor is willing to give individual assistance.



Statistics	Value
Mean	4.60
Standard Deviation	0.52


6. The instructor treats students with respect.



Statistics	Value
Mean	4.70
Standard Deviation	0.48


Questions about Instructor (continued)

7. The instructor is adequately meeting the goals/objectives of this course.



Statistics	Value
Mean	4.20
Standard Deviation	0.79


8. The instructor teaches well in an online setting.




Statistics	Value
Mean	4.60
Standard Deviation	0.70

Instructor Questions: Comparative Scores


Overall




1. The instructor maintained an appropriate pace through the material.




2. The instructor was responsive to my communications in a timely manner.



3. The online materials and communication clearly presented course content.




4. The instructor evaluates in a fair manner.




Instructor Questions: Comparative Scores (continued)


5. The instructor is willing to give individual assistance.



6. The instructor treats students with respect.



7. The instructor is adequately meeting the goals/objectives of this course.



8. The instructor teaches well in an online setting.



Comments:

Comments

I appreciated the video feedback given by the professor and the video description of the capstone project. It makes an online course more engaging. Throughout the MSIT program we were told how important being able to work in a group would be in our careers. Being able to complete the project as a group closely aligns with real world applications of project teams.

The group project for this class was no different from any other class group project held here at MGSU. I like the idea of the hand-on and getting practical job experience. You did an excellent job of explaining the project and give feedback. However, the nature of human personality and characters can not be changed. In this group project, you still have one, two, or maybe three individuals carrying the load of getting the assignment done. However, if the team success, the entire team benefits. I guess thats the reason why it is called a team. I challenge you to continue to find a way to monitor each student's progress. So that all students are equally task and evaluated.

It was a pleasure been in your class.

I felt the video instructions were well done. The project was presented in a clear format. My suggestion would be limiting groups to 2 or 3 tops. In an online setting such as this, meeting and communication can be cumbersome and difficult. Limiting the number of individuals can allow easier splitting of tasks.

I would like to thank for the video feedback. It was really helpful. I think we should have weekly assignments more than just giving the status.

Good class and good professor